Procedures to file a request to the Austrian Patent Office (APO) for Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) Pilot Program between the APO and the State Intellectual Property Office of the People’s Republic of China (SIPO)

The pilot period of this PPH pilot program will commence on March 1st, 2013 for duration of one year and ending on February 28th, 2014. The pilot period may be extended if necessary until the APO and SIPO receive the sufficient number of PPH requests to adequately assess the feasibility of PPH program. The offices may also terminate the PPH pilot program if the volume of participation exceeds manageable level, or for any other reason. Ex Ante notice will be published if the PPH pilot program is terminated.

PART 1: PPH using the national work products from the Office of First Filing (OFF)

The PPH Pilot Program enables an application whose claims have been determined to be patentable in the OFF – SIPO - to undergo an accelerated examination in the Office of Second Filing (OSF) – APO - with a simple procedure according to a request from an applicant.

1. Request to the APO

An applicant has to file a request for accelerated examination under the PPH to the APO by submitting a bilingual German/English form for requesting accelerated examination under the PPH Pilot Program accompanied by the relevant supporting documents. The requirements for an application to the APO for accelerated examination under the PPH are given in paragraph 2. Relevant supporting documentation (paragraph 3) and the general AT application procedure envisaged at this time is discussed in the following section (paragraph 4).

2. Requirements for requesting accelerated examination under the PPH Pilot Program at the APO

There are four requirements for requesting accelerated examination under the PPH Pilot Program at the APO. These are:

a) **The AT application (including PCT national phase applications) is:**

   i) an application which validly claims priority under the Paris Convention to the CN application(s) (examples are provided in Annex 1, figure A, B, C, D, E and F), or

   ii) a PCT national phase application without priority claim (direct PCT applications) (examples are provided in Annex 1, figure G), or

   iii) an application which validly claims priority under the Paris Convention to the PCT application(s) without priority claim (examples are provided in Annex 1, figure H, I and J).

   An AT application which validly claims priority to multiple CN or PCT applications, or which is a divisional application validly based on the originally filed application that is included in (i) to (iii) above, is also eligible.

b) **At least one corresponding CN application has one or more claims that have been determined to be patentable by the SIPO.**
Claims are “determined to be patentable” when they are explicitly identified to be patentable in the latest office action of SIPO, even if the application is not granted for a patent yet.

The office action includes:
(a) Decision to Grant a Patent
(b) First/Second/Third/… Office Action
(c) Decision of Refusal
(d) Reexamination Decision, and
(e) Invalidation Decision

Claims are also “determined to be patentable” in the following circumstances: If the SIPO office action does not explicitly state that a particular claim is patentable, the applicant must include an explanation accompanying the request for participation in the PPH pilot program that no rejection has been made in the SIPO office action regarding that claim, and therefore, the claim is deemed to be patentable by the SIPO.

For example, if claims are not shown in the item of “6. the Opinion on the Conclusion of Examination (审查的结论性意见) about Claims (关于权利要求书)” in the “First Notice of the Opinion on Examination (第一次审查意见通知书)” or “5. the Opinion on the Conclusion of Examination (审查的结论性意见) about Claims (关于权利要求书)” in the “Second/Third/… Notice of the Opinion on Examination (第二次审查意见通知书)” of the SIPO, those claims may be deemed to be implicitly identified to be patentable and then the applicant must include the above explanation.)

c) All claims in the AT application for accelerated examination under the PPH must sufficiently correspond to one or more of those claims indicated as patentable in the SIPO.
Claims shall be considered to sufficiently correspond where the claims are of the same scope such that the claims in the AT application have a common technical feature with the claims in the CN application which makes the claims allowable over the prior art in the corresponding CN application. Where amended claims have been determined to be patentable by the SIPO, the claims of the AT application should be such that they correspond to the amended claims of the CN application. Claims of the AT application which are appended to earlier claims of the AT application corresponding to claims that are indicated as patentable by the SIPO will also be considered to sufficiently correspond where such claims fall within the scope of the claims indicated as patentable by the SIPO.

d) The APO has not yet issued a decision to grant a patent (‘Erteilungsbeschluss’).

3. Required documents for accelerated examination under the PPH Pilot Program at the APO

The following documentation will be needed to support a request for accelerated examination under the PPH Pilot Program at the APO:

a) a copy of all office actions on the corresponding CN application(s) and translation(s) of them
Both German and English are acceptable as translation languages. An applicant does not have to submit the copy and translation of the office actions when those documents are provided via the electronic dossier system of the SIPO. However, if the available (machine) translation is insufficient, the APO examiner may request an additional translation from the applicant.

b) a copy of the claims examined by the SIPO and copies of subsequent amended claims found to be patentable by the SIPO where appropriate, and translation of them
Both German and English are acceptable as translation languages. However, if the available (machine) translation is insufficient, the APO examiner may request an additional translation from the applicant.
Part 2: PPH using the PCT international work products (PCT-PPH)

Under the PCT-PPH Pilot Program a PCT-PPH request can be based on the latest PCT work product ("Written Opinion of International Searching Authority (WO/ISA)", "Written Opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority (WO/IPEA)" or "International Preliminary Examination Report (IPER)") established by the SIPO as ISA or IPEA, where this work product determines claims to be patentable/allowable.

1. Request to the APO

An applicant has to file a request for accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH to the APO by submitting a bilingual German/English form for requesting accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH Pilot Program accompanied by the relevant supporting documents. The requirements for an application to the APO for accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH are given in paragraph 2. Relevant supporting documentation (paragraph 3) and the general AT application procedure envisaged at this time is discussed in the following section (paragraph 4).

2. Requirements for requesting accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH Pilot Program at the APO

There are four requirements for requesting accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH Pilot Program at the APO. These are:
The relationship between the AT application and the corresponding international application satisfies one of the following requirements (including the case that the Office of the application is the same as the ISA/IPEA of the corresponding international application):

i) The application is a national phase application of the corresponding international application (examples are provided in Annex 2, figure A, A’ and A’’).

ii) The application is a national application as a basis of the priority claim of the corresponding international application (example is provided in Annex 2, figure B).

iii) The application is a national phase application of an international application claiming priority from the corresponding international application (example is provided in Annex 2, figure C).

iv) The application is a national application claiming foreign/domestic priority from the corresponding international application (example is provided in Annex 2, figure D).

v) The application is the derivative application (divisional application, application claiming internal priority, etc.) of the application which satisfies one of the above requirements (i) – (iv) (examples are provided in Annex 2, figures E1 and E2).

The AT application has one or more claim(s) that are determined to be patentable/allowable in the work product of the corresponding PCT application.

The latest work product in the international phase of a PCT application corresponding to the application ("international work product"), namely the "Written Opinion of International Searching Authority (WO/ISA)", the "Written Opinion of International Preliminary Examining Authority (WO/IPEA)" or the "International Preliminary Examination Report (IPER)", indicates at least one claim as patentable/allowable (from the aspect of novelty, inventive steps and industrial applicability). The applicant cannot file a request under PCT-PPH on the basis of an International Search Report (ISR) only.

In case any observation is described in Box VIII of WO/ISA, WO/IPEA or IPER which forms the basis of a PCT-PPH request, the applicant must explain why the claim(s) is/are not subject to the observation irrespective of whether or not an amendment is submitted to correct the observation.

c) All claims on file, as originally filed or as amended, for examination under the PCT-PPH must sufficiently correspond to one or more of those claims indicated as patentable/allowable in the latest international work product of the corresponding international application.

Claims shall be considered to sufficiently correspond where the claims are of the same scope such that the claims in the AT application have a common technical feature with the claims in the PCT application which makes the claims allowable over the prior art in the corresponding PCT application. Where amended claims have been determined to be patentable, the claims of the AT application should be such that they correspond to the amended claims of the PCT application. Claims of the AT application which are appended to earlier claims of the AT application corresponding to claims that are indicated as patentable will also be considered to sufficiently correspond where such claims fall within the scope of the claims indicated as patentable.

d) The APO has not yet issued a decision to grant a patent (‘Erteilungsbeschluss’).

3. Required documents for accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH Pilot Program at the APO

The following documentation will be needed to support a request for accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH Pilot Program at the APO:

a) a copy of the latest international work product of the corresponding international application and translation of it

Both German and English are acceptable as translation languages. However, if the available (machine) translation is insufficient, the APO examiner may request an additional translation.
4. Procedure for accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH Pilot Program at the APO

The APO decides whether the application can be entitled to the status for an accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH when it receives a request with the documents stated above. When the APO decides that the request is acceptable, the application is assigned a special status for an accelerated examination under the PCT-PPH.

In those instances where the request does not meet all the requirements set forth above, the applicant will be notified and the defects in the request will be identified. The applicant will be given opportunity to correct the request. If any of those defects is not corrected, the applicant will be notified and the application will await action in its regular turn.

1 http://www.wipo.int/pctdb/en/index.jsp
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Figure (E1): The application is a divisional application of an application which satisfies the requirement (A).

Figure (E2): The application is an application claiming domestic priority from an application which satisfies the requirement (B).